

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10                  AT TACOMA

11                  NATHEN BARTON,

12                  Plaintiff,

13                  v.

14                  JOE DELFGAUW, *et al.*,

15                  Defendants.

16                  CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05610-JRC

17                  ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
18                  MOTION FOR DEFAULT

19                  This matter is before the Court on the parties' consent to proceed before a Magistrate  
20                  Judge (Dkt. 38) and plaintiff's motion for default. Dkt. 99.

21                  On January 18, 2022, plaintiff moved for default after defendants did not file a timely  
22                  answer to plaintiff's amended complaint. *See* Dkt. 99. On January 28, 2022, this Court ordered  
23                  defendants to show cause or file a response and renoted plaintiff's motion for default to February  
24                  4, 2022. *See* Dkt. 102 at 1–2. On January 30, 2022, defendants filed an answer to plaintiff's  
///  
amended complaint, which satisfied this Court's order. *See* Dkt. 105.

1 Because defendants have now responded to plaintiff's amended complaint, the Court  
2 denies plaintiff's motion for default. Dkt. 99.

3 Dated this 4th day of February, 2022.

4   
5

6 J. Richard Creatura  
7 Chief United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24